Influence of high ovarian hormones on QT interval duration in young African women
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Abstract
The longer QT interval duration observed in women compared to men is usually attributed to sexual hormones. The aim of our study was to investigate, among black African women, the influence of hormonal variations during the menstrual cycle on the duration of the QT interval. Fourteen young black African women, healthy, sedentary, aged 24 ± 1.7 years, with a regular menstrual cycle (28 ± 1 days) were selected from 59 volunteers. At each phase of their menstrual cycle, menstrual 2.3 days, luteal 23.1 ± 1.4 days, an electrocardiogram was performed in supine position after a resting period of 30 min, to measure QT interval duration. QT interval was corrected by Bazett’s (QT_{cb}) and Fridericia’s (QT_{cf}) formulae. Then, blood samples were obtained to measure estradiol, progesterone, and serum electrolytes (K+, Ca2+, Mg2+). There was no significant difference in uncorrected QT intervals between the three phases of the menstrual cycle. It was the same for QT_{cb} and QT_{cf}. Moreover, during the menstrual cycle, we did not observe any correlation between each QT, QT_{cb}, QT_{cf} and estradiol levels which raised during the follicular phase (356.61 ± 160.77 pg/mL) and progesterone levels which raised during the luteal phase (16.38 ± 5.88 ng/mL). Finally, the method of Bland and Altman demonstrated that the corrections of QT by Bazett and Fridericia formulae were not interchangeable. The results of this study showed that high levels of estradiol and progesterone in young black African women did not influence the QT, QT_{cb}, and QT_{cf} intervals duration during the menstrual cycle.

Introduction
QT interval measured at rest by electrocardiography represents the duration of ventricular repolarization. Since the work of Bazett (1920), several studies have reported a longer QT interval in women than in men (Adams 1936; Goldberg et al. 1991; Rautaharju et al. 1992; Makkar et al. 1993; Molnar et al. 1996; Lehmann et al. 1996; Burke et al. 1997; Stramba-Badiale et al. 1997; Drici et al. 1998; Surawicz and Parikh 2002). This gender difference was not found between boys and girls before puberty (Sutliff and Holt 1925; Iliiff and Lee 1952; Rijnbeek et al. 2001). These observations led some authors to investigate the role of sexual hormones on QT interval duration during the menstrual cycle (Burke et al. 1997; Hulot et al. 2003; Endres et al. 2004; Nakagawa et al. 2005). These studies were also motivated by the discovery of the inhibitory effect of estradiol on potassium channels that regulate the repolarization phase of the action potential, leading to QT interval prolongation in animals (Drici et al. 1996; Ebert et al. 1998). However, no change in QT interval has been reported with hormonal fluctuations during the menstrual cycle in Caucasian women (Burke et al. 1997; Hulot et al. 2003; Nakagawa et al. 2006). These authors...
implicated the low levels of estradiol in Caucasian women who participated in their study compared to the high estradiol concentration in Drici’s study which increased QT interval in animals (Drici et al. 1996). This suggested that a high level of estradiol in women could lead to a long QT interval. Marsh et al. (2011), after measuring estradiol and progesterone each day during one menstrual cycle, reported significantly greater level of estradiol in the late follicular phase in African-American women than in Caucasian women but no significant difference in progesterone level between the two groups. This great estradiol level in the late follicular phase of these women could lead to a long QT interval.

Also, the purpose of this study was to investigate in young black African women, the influence of hormonal variations during the menstrual cycle on QT interval duration.

Materials and Methods

Ethical approval

The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines set by the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Academic Hospital of Yopougon (Abidjan, Ivory Coast).

All patients were advised about the purpose and procedures of the study, and they gave their informed written consent.

Population

Among 59 urban young black African women volunteers, 21 were selected (20–30 years) and had a regular menstrual cycle for more than 3 months (28 ± 1 days). They were sedentary, nonsmokers and showed no cardiovascular or respiratory disease. They have never been pregnant and did not take oral contraceptives or medications that could affect cardiac repolarization. They had a clinical examination, normal resting electrocardiogram with a CardioPlug (CARDIONICS S.A., Brussels, Belgium), and a normal ionic balance. No family history of sudden death has been found in this population.

Experimental protocol

Each woman was admitted in the function tests unit of the Academic Hospital of Yopougon, at each phase of their menstrual cycle: menstrual (days 1–5), follicular (4 days preceding ovulation), and luteal (days 6–10 after ovulation). These phases were identified taking into account the onset of menses and the date of ovulation (calculated from the known length of the menstrual cycle and fixed at 14 days for luteal phase). Subjects were explored in the morning between 8:00 a.m. and 12:00 a.m. Each woman, topless, was installed on an examination bed, in an air-conditioned room at 22°C. A clinical examination was performed to confirm the absence of cardiorespiratory event. Then, still in the supine position, blood pressure was measured on the left arm with an electronic monitor (OMRON M6, Kyoto, Japan) and an electrocardiogram was performed with a CardioPlug (CARDIONICS S.A., Brussels, Belgium) as recommended by the AHA/ACCF/HRS 2007 (Kligfield et al. 2007). The electrocardiogram was programmed to 25 mm/s, 10 mV/mm, with a long DII derivation. Subjects were covered from neck to feet and remained quietly in supine position, eyes closed for 30 consecutive minutes before starting the recordings of the electrocardiogram (ECG), the blood pressure and the heart rate. Blood samples were collected in a dry tube for the determination of ovarian hormones (estradiol and progesterone) and in a heparin tube for the measurements of electrolytes (K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺). Estradiol and progesterone levels were determined by immunological method (mini VIDAS, Biomerieux, Paris, France). At each of the three phases of the menstrual cycle, all explorations were made at the same time, in the same room, and under the same experimental conditions.

All electrocardiographic recordings were read by the same investigator who was blinded to the phases of the menstrual cycle and to the results of hormones levels. The QT intervals were measure manually in long DII derivation.

Parameters

All QT intervals were measured on each electrocardiogram. The QT interval was measured from the onset of the QRS complex to the end of the T wave. The end of the T wave was obtained by extending a tangent from the T wave immediately before the P or U and extending it to the level of the isoelectric baseline (Kadish et al. 1990). Each RR interval preceding each QT interval was measured in millimeters and converted to seconds. Each QT interval measured was corrected (QTc) using Bazett (1920) \[QTc_b (ms) = QT/RR^{1/2}\] and Fridericia (1920) \[QTc_f (ms) = QT/RR^{1/3}\] formulae. In each subject, we calculated the difference between QT interval in follicular phase and QT interval in luteal phase. The same procedure was done for QTc_b and QTc_f.

Statistical tests

The minimum sample size needed (>12 subjects) was calculated to allow a detection of a mean difference of 16 ms in the duration of QT interval between two of the three phases of the menstrual cycle with \(\alpha = 0.05\) and
power = 0.80. The SD of this difference was estimated from prior data to be 15–22 ms (Drichi et al. 1996; Hulot et al. 2003). Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures was used to compare the different parameters measured between the three phases of the menstrual cycle. The correlations of QT, QT_{cb}, and QT_{cf} intervals with hormones and serum electrolytes levels were tested using the Pearson correlation coefficient. Interchangeability between the QT corrected by Bazett and QT corrected by Fridericia was investigated by the method of Bland and Altman (1986). To test whether the mean difference between QT_{cb} and QT_{cf} was not significantly different from 0, a one-sample Student t-test was performed. Finally, a linear regression was drawn on the Bland-Altman scatter plot to assess possible changes in bias with the increase in QTc interval. The acceptable limit of agreement to assess the interchangeability between the two corrections of QT interval was fixed at 15 ms of the mean values. Above this limit, the physiologic meanings of the measurement could be considered doubtful. Statistical significance was determined by P-value <0.05. Analyses were conducted using SPSS (version 17, Chicago, IL).

### Results

#### Population characteristics

Among the 21 young black African women selected for this study, hormonal assays confirmed the three phases of the menstrual cycle in 14 of them. These assays were characterized by low levels of estradiol and progesterone in menstrual phase, an estradiol peak and low progesterone level in the follicular phase and, in the luteal phase, a progesterone peak above 5 ng/mL, and an oestradiol level under the follicular peak. These 14 young women selected for the study had a mean age of 24 ± 1.7 years and an average menstrual cycle period of 28 ± 1 days. They were explored during menstrual phase 2.9 ± 0.6 days, follicular phase 13 ± 1.5 days, and luteal phase 23.1 ± 1.4 days. The characteristics of the 14 young women during the three phases of the menstrual cycle are reported in Table 1. Resting heart rate was significantly higher in the luteal phase. Resting diastolic blood pressure was significantly lower in the luteal phase. There was no statistical difference for systolic blood pressure was significantly lower in the luteal phase. Resting heart rate was significantly higher in the luteal phase. Resting diastolic blood pressure was significantly lower in the luteal phase. Between menstrual, follicular, and luteal phases was 1.5 days. They were explored

#### QT, QT_{cb}, and QT_{cf} intervals duration

The average lengths of QT, QT_{cb}, and QT_{cf} in the three phases of the menstrual cycle are reported in Table 2. There was no significant difference between the QT, QT_{cb}, QT_{cf} intervals of the three phases of the menstrual cycle (P = 0.09; P = 0.98; P = 0.53, respectively). For each phase of the menstrual cycle, the average QT_{cb} value was significantly greater than the average value of QT_{cf} (menstrual P = 0.007; follicular P = 0.03; luteal P = 0.002).

The mean difference in QT between luteal and follicular phases was −12.7 ± 14.9 ms. The mean differences in each QT_{cb} and QT_{cf} between luteal and follicular phases were 0.5 ± 10.7 ms and −4.1 ± 9.7 ms, respectively.

#### RR intervals during the three phases of menstrual cycle

RR interval was significantly longer in the follicular phase than in the menstrual and luteal phases (899 ± 194; 863 ± 176; 826 ± 162 ms; P = 0.04).

#### Hormone levels

The estradiol level was significantly higher in the follicular phase than in the menstrual and luteal phases (Table 3). The progesterone level was significantly greater in the luteal phase than in menstrual and follicular phases (Table 3).

### Table 1. Population characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Menstrual phase</th>
<th>Follicular phase</th>
<th>Luteal phase</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>59.1 ± 3.5</td>
<td>58.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>57.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, beats/min</td>
<td>69 ± 15</td>
<td>68 ± 14</td>
<td>74 ± 13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>103 ± 6</td>
<td>103 ± 9</td>
<td>102 ± 7</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>71 ± 5</td>
<td>70 ± 6</td>
<td>68 ± 6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺, mEq/L</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺, mg/L</td>
<td>96 ± 5</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
<td>95 ± 3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺, g/L</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD.

### Table 2. Average length of uncorrected and corrected QT intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Menstrual phase</th>
<th>Follicular phase</th>
<th>Luteal phase</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QT, ms</td>
<td>350 ± 20.6</td>
<td>354.6 ± 22.5</td>
<td>341.9 ± 18.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT_{cb}, ms</td>
<td>381 ± 26.7</td>
<td>378.7 ± 26.3</td>
<td>379.3 ± 26.8</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT_{cf}, ms</td>
<td>370 ± 17.5</td>
<td>370 ± 16.3</td>
<td>365.9 ± 16.7</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (QT_{cf}/QT_{cb})</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD.

QT_{cb}, QT interval corrected by Bazett’s formula; QT_{cf}, QT interval corrected by Fridericia’s formula; P, P-value.
Relationship

QT, QT<sub>cb</sub>, QT<sub>c</sub> with RR intervals

Figures 1, 2 and 3 showed the relationship of QT, QT<sub>cb</sub>, and QT<sub>c</sub> intervals, respectively, with RR intervals, in the follicular and luteal phases of the menstrual cycle. There was a significant positive correlation between QT and RR intervals during the follicular (\(r = 0.79; P = 0.0008\)) and luteal (\(r = 0.73; P = 0.003\)) phases of the menstrual cycle. With Bazett’s formula, QT<sub>cb</sub> intervals showed a significant negative correlation with the RR intervals during the follicular (\(r = 0.82; P = 0.0003\)) and luteal (\(r = 0.82; P = 0.0003\)) phases. However, with Fridericia’s formula, there was a significant correlation between QT<sub>c</sub> intervals and RR intervals in the luteal phase only (\(r = 0.55; P = 0.04\)) but not in the follicular phase (\(r = 0.48; P = 0.08\)).

QT, QT<sub>cb</sub>, and QT<sub>c</sub> intervals with serum electrolytes

There was no correlation between QT interval and serum potassium (\(r = 0.16; P = 0.58\)), calcium (\(r = 0.08; P = 0.78\)), and magnesium (\(r = 0.14; P = 0.63\)). It was the same for QT<sub>cb</sub> (\(r = 0.12; P = 0.68; r = 0.3; P = 0.29; r = 0.19; P = 0.51\)) and QT<sub>c</sub> (\(r = 0.17; P = 0.5; r = 0.26; P = 0.36; r = 0.14; P = 0.63\)).

QT, QT<sub>cb</sub>, QT<sub>c</sub> intervals with hormone levels

No correlation was found between the QT, QT<sub>cb</sub>, QT<sub>c</sub> intervals and estradiol during the menstrual cycle.

Table 3. Serum estradiol and progesterone levels during the menstrual cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Menstrual phase n = 14</th>
<th>Follicular phase n = 14</th>
<th>Luteal phase n = 14</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol, pg/mL</td>
<td>49.57 ± 22.79</td>
<td>356.61 ± 160.77</td>
<td>245.18 ± 98.73</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone, ng/mL</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.49</td>
<td>16.38 ± 5.88</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;-6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD. 
P, P-value.

Figure 1. Uncorrected QT versus RR relationships during follicular and luteal phases of menstrual cycle (n = 14). During follicular and luteal phases of the menstrual cycle, there was a significant positive correlation between QT and RR intervals (\(P = 0.0008\) and \(P = 0.003\), respectively).

Figure 2. QT interval corrected by Bazett’s formula (QT<sub>c</sub>) versus RR relationship during follicular and luteal phases of menstrual cycle (n = 14). With Bazett’s formula, QT<sub>c</sub> intervals showed a significant negative correlation with the RR intervals during the follicular and luteal phases (\(P = 0.0003\) and \(P = 0.0003\), respectively).

Figure 3. QT interval corrected by Fridericia’s formula (QT<sub>c</sub>) versus RR relationship during follicular and luteal phases of menstrual cycle (n = 14). With Fridericia’s formula, there was a correlation between QT<sub>c</sub> intervals and RR intervals in luteal phase only (\(P = 0.04\)) but not in follicular phase (\(P = 0.08\)).
(r = 0.13,  P = 0.65;  r = 0,  P = 1;  r = 0.03,  P = 0.91) (Fig. 4 A, B, and C). It was the same with progesterone (r = 0.23,  P = 0.42;  r = 0.04,  P = 0.89;  r = 0.04,  P = 0.89) (Fig. 5 A, B, and C).

**QTcB and QTcF and comparison of QTcB and QTcF by the method of Bland-Altman**

During the menstrual cycle, there was a significant correlation between QTcB and QTcF intervals (r = 0.9;  P = 0) (Fig. 6).

The results of the Bland and Altman analysis are displayed in Fig. 7. The bias (11.4 ms) was significantly different from 0 (P < 0.05) suggesting a mean difference between QTcB and QTcF. The significant positive linear regression showed a tendency to increase bias values with corrected QT values (r = 0.72;  P = 0.003). The upper limit of agreement after correction by the bias (36.92–11.04 = 25.88 ms) was above the approval limit proposed 15 ms. In conclusion, there was no interchangeability between Bazett and Fridericia formulae.

**Discussion**

This study investigated the influence of ovarian hormones on the QT interval duration during the menstrual cycle.
in young black African woman. Despite significantly higher estradiol level in the follicular phase and greater progesterone level in the luteal phase, we did not observe any significant difference in the duration of each QT, QTcB (Bazett), and QTcF (Fridericia) intervals during the three phases of the menstrual cycle. Moreover, there was no correlation between QTcB intervals corrected by Bazett’s formula and QTcF intervals corrected by the Fridericia’s formula ($r = 0.72$).

Finally, Nakagawa et al. (2006), in 11 Holter ECG of women taken during their daily activities, reported significantly shorter QT interval in the luteal phase when estradiol levels were high and progesterone levels were low. We cannot exclude that oestrogens may predispose to a greater sensitivity to drug-induced QT prolongation. However, this study was not designed to answer this question. Indeed, beta-adrenergic stimulation modulates some ionic...
currents such as the $I_{\text{Ca},2^+}$, a slow-activating component of the delayed rectifier potassium current and current Na/K pump current (Lindemann and Watanabe 1990; Nakagawa et al. 2005) that regulate the repolarization phase of the action potential of the cardiac cells.

It is recognized that the QT correction formulae give different values of corrected QT (Luo et al. 2004). Bazett’s formula (1920) is known to give high corrected values compared to Fridericia’s formula (Sagie et al. 1992; Charbit et al. 2006). The Fridericia’s formula, giving better corrections than Bazett’s formula, has been recommended by some authors (Funck-Brentano and Jaillon 1993; Davey 2002). In the studies which did not show any influence of the menstrual cycle on the QT interval duration, the authors have used Bazett’s formula (Burke et al. 1997; Rodriguez et al. 2001; Endres et al. 2004), Fridericia’s formula (Rodriguez et al. 2001) and or specific regression parameters (Hulot et al. 2003). Among our subjects, the duration of the corrected QT interval was significantly higher with Bazett’s formula than Fridericia’s formula during the three phases of the menstrual cycle. Bazett formula gave QT values always significantly correlated to values of RR intervals, unlike the Fridericia formula. Values of QT corrected by these two formulae were correlated but were not interchangeable. Also, for studies on QT corrected value, the choice of Bazett’s formula alone appears inadequate.

**Conclusion**

At rest, this study showed that there was no significant variation in the duration of uncorrected QT and corrected QT intervals between menstrual, follicular, and luteal phases of the menstrual cycle among young black African woman. High estradiol level during follicular phase and high progesterone level in the luteal phase, in the young African woman did not influence the duration of uncorrected QT and QT corrected by the formulae of Bazett and Fridericia. Due to the absence of the interchangeability between Bazett’s and Fridericia’s formulae, the simultaneous use of at least two correction formulas of the QT interval duration is recommended in studies.
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